



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

idress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/747,063	12/22/2000	Timothy A. Best	ST9-99-186	1655	
7590 03/29/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
			PILLAI, NAMITHA		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			2173	12	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/747,063	BEST ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Namitha Pillai	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	-					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	_	-//	•			
JOHN CABECA						
	SUPERVISO	RY PATENT EXAMIN LOGY CENTER 2100	F			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Yogaratnam's specification including the drawings have clearly taught opening multiple JAVA applications and executing them in separate windows, wherein in addition, Yogaratnam's claims specifically disclose and refer to the JAVA components of the application as multiple JAVA applets, which clearly are disclosed in the claims of Yogaratnam and hence has been previously disclosed. Even with a distinction made between JAVA applications and applets, Yogaratnam has disclosed wherein both the applications and applets are referred to when multiple applets are executed and displayed in the separate windows. Yogaratnam does have a JAVA virtual machine that is responsible for handling the back end processes involving running and executing multiple JAVA applets, but Yogaratnam does go further in disclosing a step by step process of each applet being launched and executed with the final step including displaying the applet to the user interface, wherein multiple applets would follow these steps and end with the displays of multiple applets in separate windows as disclosed in the drawings and specification of Yogaratnam (column 5, lines 60-63).